3.1 Blog Response to Online Article: Tehya		
Value	Ideas and Impressions	Presentation
Excellent	☐ Your ideas and perceptions are insightful and carefully considered.☐ Your support is thorough and effective.	 Your voice is engaging, and your tone is effective. Your stylistic choices are precise and effective. Your development of ideas is fluent. Your focus on theme is sustained.
Proficient	☐ Your ideas and perceptions are thoughtful and considered.☐ Your support is relevant and purposeful.	 ✓ Your voice is distinct, and your tone is considered. ☐ Your stylistic choices are specific. ✓ Your development of ideas is generally fluent. ✓ Your focus on theme is coherently sustained.
Satisfactory	 ✓ Your ideas and perceptions are appropriate. ✓ Your support is straightforward and generally focused. 	 Your voice is matter-of-fact, and your tone is appropriate. ✓ Your stylistic choices are adequate. □ Your development of ideas is conventional. □ Your focus on theme is clear and maintained generally, but may falter on occasion.
Limited	 ☐ Your ideas and perceptions are incomplete, superficial, or suggest lack of awareness. ☐ Your support is inappropriate and/or repetitive. 	 ☐ Your voice is ineffective, and/or your tone is inappropriate. ☐ Your stylistic choices are frequently inappropriate and/or imprecise. ☐ Your development of ideas is unclear and/or ineffective. ☐ Your focus on theme falters.
Resubmission Needed	☐ Your attempt to respond is insufficient. You need to contact your teacher to discuss your work and your resubmission.	
TOTAL: 10/15 = 67%	6/10	4/5
	Areas of strength: Your response is focused on the Virk case which is a good thing. As well, your distinct voice comes through here as it is complemented by the fluency of the blog.	
Assessment	Might I suggest: Try referring to the article more effectively by taking a position and developing it. A few more details relating to the incident might be helpful for the reader.	