

## WORLD GEOGRAPHY 30

### PROJECT 3 – CHOICE ONE: TAKING UP THE SDGS

Score Levels	DETAILED CONTENT (20 MARKS)	ACCURACY AND PRESENTATION (10 MARKS)	BIBLIOGRAPHY (10 MARKS)
<b>EXCEEDS STANDARD</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is well thought out and aptly outlines the progress of your chosen country in its SDGs.</li> <li>Reflects application of critical thinking</li> <li>Is pulled from a large variety of sources</li> <li>Demonstrates a strong understanding of geography</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Digital media, writing, and/or visual displays are polished, informative, and support audience engagement and understanding</li> <li>Information provided is accurate and intentionally chosen</li> <li>Organization of content is both empathic and purposeful</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All research is cited in APA/MLA format.</li> <li>No mechanical errors are present in the bibliography</li> <li>The sources chosen are varied and reliable</li> </ul>
<b>MEETS STANDARD</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outlines the progress of your chosen country in its SDGs.</li> <li>Evidence of critical thinking is apparent</li> <li>Is pulled from a few sources</li> <li>Demonstrates a strong understanding of geography</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Digital media, writing, and/or visual displays are informative, appealing, and relevant</li> <li>Information provided is accurate and intentionally chosen</li> <li>Organization of content is adequate and/or empathic</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All research is cited in APA/MLA format.</li> <li>1-2 mechanical errors are present in the bibliography</li> <li>The sources chosen are reliable</li> </ul>
<b>NEARLY MEETS STANDARD</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SDG outcome discussion is too surface level or inconsistent</li> <li>Evidence of critical thinking is apparent</li> <li>Limited support by researched sources</li> <li>Demonstrates a basic understanding of geography</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Digital media, writing and/or visual displays are relevant or appealing.</li> <li>Has some factual errors or inconsistencies</li> <li>Organization of content is adequate and/or empathic</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All map citations and research are cited in something resembling APA/MLA format.</li> <li>A few mechanical errors are present in your bibliography</li> <li>The sources cited are of questionable reliability</li> </ul>
<b>DOES NOT MEET STANDARD</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discussion of SDG outcomes are regularly missing key information or analysis</li> <li>Has no apparent application of critical thinking</li> <li>Has no clear goal</li> <li>Does not demonstrate an understanding of geography</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Digital media, writing and/or visual displays are confusing or distracting</li> <li>Has significant factual errors, misconceptions, or misinterpretations</li> <li>Content organization is not acceptable or non-existent</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No attempt at any citation formatting is attempted</li> <li>Significant mechanical errors are present in your bibliography</li> <li>Your sources are search engines</li> </ul>

